

METRIC MEASURE LIMITS OF SPHERES AND COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

TAKASHI SHIOYA

ABSTRACT. We study the limits of sequences of spheres and complex projective spaces with unbounded dimensions. A sequence of spheres (resp. complex projective spaces) either is a Lévy family, infinitely dissipates, or converges to (resp. the Hopf quotient of) a virtual infinite-dimensional Gaussian space, depending on the size of the spaces. These are the first discovered examples with the property that the limits are drastically different from the spaces in the sequence. For the proof, we introduce a metric on Gromov's compactification of the space of metric measure spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gromov [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$] developed the metric measure geometry based on the idea of concentration of measure phenomenon due to Lévy and Milman (see [14–17]). This is particularly useful to study a family of spaces with unbounded dimensions. A *metric measure space* (or *mm-space* for short) is a triple (X, d_X, μ_X) , where (X, d_X) is a complete separable metric space and μ_X a Borel probability measure on X . Gromov defined the *observable distance*, say $d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y)$, between two mm-spaces X and Y by the difference between 1-Lipschitz functions on X and those on Y , and studied the geometry of the space of mm-spaces, say $\mathcal{X}$, with metric d_{conc} . (In [11], the observable distance function is denoted by $\underline{H}_1\mathcal{L}_{\iota_1}$.) The observable distance is much more useful than the Gromov-Hausdorff distance to study a sequence of spaces with unbounded dimensions. We say that a sequence of mm-spaces X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, *concentrates* to an mm-space X if X_n d_{conc} -converges to X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have a specific natural compactification, say Π , of $(\mathcal{X}, d_{\text{conc}})$. The space Π consists of pyramids, where a *pyramid* is a directed subfamily of $\mathcal{X}$ with respect to a natural order relation $\prec$, called the *Lipschitz order*. $X \prec Y$ holds if there exists a 1-Lipschitz continuous map from Y to X that pushes μ_Y forward to μ_X . For a given mm-space $X \in \mathcal{X}$, the set of $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ with $X' \prec X$ is a pyramid,

Date: February 5, 2014.

Key words and phrases. metric measure space, concentration, sphere, complex projective space, dissipation, Normal law à la Lévy, observable distance, pyramid.

The author is partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

denoted by $\mathcal{P}_X$. We call $\mathcal{P}_X$ the *pyramid associated with X* . The space Π has a natural compact topology such that the map

$$\iota : \mathcal{X} \ni X \longmapsto \mathcal{P}_X \in \Pi$$

is a topological embedding map. The image $\iota(\mathcal{X})$ is dense in Π and so Π is a compactification of $\mathcal{X}$. It follows that $X \prec Y$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}_X \subset \mathcal{P}_Y$, namely the Lipschitz order $\prec$ on $\mathcal{X}$ extends to the inclusion relation on Π . $\mathcal{X}$ itself is a maximal element of Π , and a one-point mm-space corresponds to a minimal element of Π . A sequence of mm-spaces is a *Lévy family* if and only if it concentrates to a one-point mm-space. A sequence of mm-spaces *infinitely dissipates* if and only if the sequence of the associated pyramids converges to the maximal element $\mathcal{X}$.

It is interesting to study concrete examples of nontrivial sequences of mm-spaces and their limits (in Π and in $\mathcal{X}$), where ‘nontrivial’ means that it neither is a Lévy family, dissipates, nor $\square$ -converges, where $\square$ denotes the box distance function on $\mathcal{X}$, which is an elementary metric on $\mathcal{X}$ and satisfies $d_{\text{conc}} \leq \square$. We remark that there are very few nontrivial examples that are studied in detail before. All such known examples are of the type of product spaces (see [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$.49,56]). In this paper, we study two examples of the non-product type, spheres and complex projective spaces with unbounded dimensions. Those are also the first discovered examples of sequence with the property that the limit space is drastically different from the spaces in the sequence.

We present some definitions needed to state our main theorems. The precise definitions are given in §4. Let γ^∞ be the infinite-dimensional standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. We call $\Gamma^\infty := (\mathbb{R}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_2, \gamma^\infty)$ the *infinite-dimensional standard Gaussian space*, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the l_2 norm on $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ (which takes values in $[0, +\infty]$). Note that γ^∞ is not a Borel measure with respect to the l_2 norm (cf. [2, §2.3]) and that Γ^∞ is not an mm-space. Nevertheless we have the associated pyramid $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$. We call $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$ the *virtual infinite-dimensional standard Gaussian space*. In the same way, we consider the infinite-dimensional centered Gaussian measure $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty$ with variance λ^2 , $\lambda > 0$, and define the virtual infinite-dimensional Gaussian space $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty}$ as a pyramid. $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty}$ coincides with the scale change of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$ of factor λ . We consider the Hopf action on $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty$ by identifying $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ with $\mathbb{C}^\infty$. The Hopf action is isometric with respect to the l_2 norm and also preserves $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty$. The quotient space $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty/S^1$ has a natural measure and a metric. We also have the associated pyramid $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty/S^1}$. Let $S^n(r)$ be the n -dimensional sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ centered at the origin and of radius $r > 0$. We equip the Riemannian distance function or the restriction of the Euclidean distance function with $S^n(r)$. We also equip the normalized volume measure with $S^n(r)$. Then $S^n(r)$ is an mm-space. We consider the

Hopf quotient

$$\mathbb{C}P^n(r) := S^{2n+1}(r)/S^1$$

that has a natural mm-structure induced from that of $S^{2n+1}(r)$ (see §2.5). This is topologically an n -dimensional complex projective space. Note that, if the distance function on $S^{2n+1}(r)$ is assumed to be Riemannian, then the distance function on $\mathbb{C}P^n(r)$ coincides with that induced from the Fubini-Study metric scaled with factor r .

One of our main theorems in this paper is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a given sequence of positive real numbers, and let $\lambda_n := r_n/\sqrt{n}$ (resp. $\lambda_n := r_n/\sqrt{2n+1}$). Then we have the following (1), (2), and (3).*

- (1) $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\{S^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ (resp. $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$) is a Lévy family.
- (2) $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\{S^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ (resp. $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$) infinitely dissipates.
- (3) Assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a positive real number λ . Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{P}_{S^n(r_n)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)}$) converges to $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^\infty/S^1}$).

(1) and (2) both hold for the Riemannian metric (resp. the scaled Fubini-Study metric) and also for the Euclidean distance function (resp. the distance induced from the Euclidean). (3) holds only for the Euclidean distance function (resp. the distance induced from the Euclidean).

Theorem 1.1 is analogous to phase transition phenomena in statistical mechanics.

If r_n is bounded away from zero, then $\{S^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ both have no $\square$ -convergent subsequence (see Proposition 7.4). The theorem also holds for any subsequence of $\{n\}$. We have the same statement as in Theorem 1.1 also for real and quaternionic projective spaces in the same way.

(1) of Theorem 1.1 follows essentially from the works of Lévy and Milman. For (1), we give some fine estimates of the observable diameter by using the normal law à la Lévy (Theorem 5.2). (2) follows from a discussion using the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law (Proposition 5.1). (3) is the most important part of the theorem. It follows from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law that the limit pyramid of (the Hopf quotient of) spheres contains the (Hopf quotient of) virtual Gaussian space. For the proof of the reverse inclusion, we define a metric ρ on the space Π of pyramids compatible with the topology on Π (see Definition 3.4) that satisfies the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For any two mm-spaces X and Y , we have*

$$\rho(\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{P}_Y) \leq d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y),$$

i.e., the embedding map $\iota : \mathcal{X} \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{P}_X \in \Pi$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous with respect to d_{conc} and ρ .

Applying this theorem, we prove the reverse inclusion.

Note that Gromov [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$] gave only the notion of convergence of pyramids and did not define the topology on Π . Note also that there exists no metric on Π (strongly) equivalent to d_{conc} . In fact we have the following as a consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1.3. *There exist mm-spaces X_n and Y_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that*

- (1) $d_{\text{conc}}(X_n, Y_n)$ is bounded away from zero;
- (2) the associated pyramids $\mathcal{P}_{X_n}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{Y_n}$ both converge to a common pyramid as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professors Takefumi Kondo and Asuka Takatsu for valuable discussions. He also thanks to Professors Tomohiro Fukaya and Ayato Mitsuishi for useful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give the definitions and the facts stated in [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$]. In [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$], many details are omitted. We refer to [8, 9, 18, 19] for the details. The reader is expected to be familiar with basic measure theory and metric geometry (cf. [1, 3, 4, 12]).

2.1. mm-Isomorphism and Lipschitz order.

Definition 2.1 (mm-Space). Let (X, d_X) be a complete separable metric space and μ_X a Borel probability measure on X . We call the triple (X, d_X, μ_X) an *mm-space*. We sometimes say that X is an mm-space, in which case the metric and the measure of X are respectively indicated by d_X and μ_X .

Definition 2.2 (mm-Isomorphism). Two mm-spaces X and Y are said to be *mm-isomorphic* to each other if there exists an isometry $f : \text{supp } \mu_X \rightarrow \text{supp } \mu_Y$ such that $f_*\mu_X = \mu_Y$, where $f_*\mu_X$ is the push-forward of μ_X by f . Such an isometry f is called an *mm-isomorphism*. Denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the set of mm-isomorphism classes of mm-spaces.

Any mm-isomorphism between mm-spaces is automatically surjective, even if we do not assume it. Note that X is mm-isomorphic to $(\text{supp } \mu_X, d_X, \mu_X)$.

We assume that an mm-space X satisfies

$$X = \text{supp } \mu_X$$

unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.3 (Lipschitz order). Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. We say that X (*Lipschitz*) *dominates* Y and write $Y \prec X$ if there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$f_*\mu_X = \mu_Y.$$

We call the relation $\prec$ on $\mathcal{X}$ the *Lipschitz order*.

The Lipschitz order $\prec$ is a partial order relation on $\mathcal{X}$

2.2. Observable diameter. The observable diameter is one of the most fundamental invariants of an mm-space.

Definition 2.4 (Partial and observable diameter). Let X be an mm-space. For a real number α , we define the *partial diameter* $\text{diam}(X; \alpha) = \text{diam}(\mu_X; \alpha)$ of X to be the infimum of $\text{diam } A$, where $A \subset X$ runs over all Borel subsets with $\mu_X(A) \geq \alpha$ and $\text{diam } A$ denotes the diameter of A . For a real number $\kappa > 0$, we define the *observable diameter* of X to be

$$\text{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) := \sup\{ \text{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1 - \kappa) \mid f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is 1-Lipschitz continuous} \}.$$

Definition 2.5 (Lévy family). A sequence of mm-spaces X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is called a *Lévy family* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ObsDiam}(X_n; -\kappa) = 0$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

Proposition 2.6. If $X \prec Y$, then

$$\text{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) \leq \text{ObsDiam}(Y; -\kappa)$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

2.3. Separation distance.

Definition 2.7 (Separation distance). Let X be an mm-space. For any real numbers $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $N \geq 1$, we define the *separation distance*

$$\text{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

of X as the supremum of $\min_{i \neq j} d_X(A_i, A_j)$ over all sequences of $N + 1$ Borel subsets $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N \subset X$ satisfying that $\mu_X(A_i) \geq \kappa_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $d_X(A_i, A_j) := \inf_{x \in A_i, y \in A_j} d_X(x, y)$. If there exists no sequence $A_0, \dots, A_N \subset X$ with $\mu_X(A_i) \geq \kappa_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, then we define

$$\text{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) := 0.$$

Lemma 2.8. Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. If X is dominated by Y , then we have, for any real numbers $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$,

$$\text{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) \leq \text{Sep}(Y; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N).$$

Proposition 2.9. *For any mm-space X and any real numbers κ and κ' with $\kappa > \kappa' > 0$, we have*

- (1) $\text{ObsDiam}(X; -2\kappa) \leq \text{Sep}(X; \kappa, \kappa),$
- (2) $\text{Sep}(X; \kappa, \kappa) \leq \text{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa').$

2.4. Box distance and observable distance.

Definition 2.10 (Prokhorov distance). The *Prokhorov distance* $d_P(\mu, \nu)$ between two Borel probability measures μ and ν on a metric space X is defined to be the infimum of $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(U_\varepsilon(A)) \geq \nu(A) - \varepsilon$$

for any Borel subset $A \subset X$, where

$$U_\varepsilon(A) := \{ x \in X \mid d_X(x, A) < \varepsilon \}.$$

The Prokhorov metric is a metrization of weak convergence of Borel probability measures on X provided that X is a separable metric space.

Definition 2.11 (me). Let (X, μ) be a measure space and Y a metric space. For two μ -measurable maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, we define $\text{me}_\mu(f, g)$ to be the infimum of $\varepsilon \geq 0$ satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(\{ x \in X \mid d_Y(f(x), g(x)) > \varepsilon \}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

We sometimes write $\text{me}(f, g)$ by omitting μ .

me_μ is a metric on the set of μ -measurable maps from X to Y by identifying two maps if they are equal μ -a.e.

Lemma 2.12. *Let X be a topological space with a Borel probability measure μ and Y a metric space. For any two μ -measurable maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, we have*

$$d_P(f_*\mu, g_*\mu) \leq \text{me}_\mu(f, g).$$

Definition 2.13 (Parameter). Let $I := [0, 1)$ and let X be an mm-space. A map $\varphi : I \rightarrow X$ is called a *parameter of X* if φ is a Borel measurable map such that

$$\varphi_*\mathcal{L}^1 = \mu_X,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^1$ denotes the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on I .

Any mm-space has a parameter.

Definition 2.14 (Box distance). We define the *box distance* $\square(X, Y)$ between two mm-spaces X and Y to be the infimum of $\varepsilon \geq 0$ satisfying that there exist parameters $\varphi : I \rightarrow X$, $\psi : I \rightarrow Y$, and a Borel subset $I_0 \subset I$ such that

- (1) $|\varphi^*d_X(s, t) - \psi^*d_Y(s, t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } s, t \in I_0;$
- (2) $\mathcal{L}^1(I_0) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$

where $\varphi^*d_X(s, t) := d_X(\varphi(s), \varphi(t))$ for $s, t \in I$.

The box distance function $\square$ is a complete separable metric on $\mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 2.15. *Let X be a complete separable metric space. For any two Borel probability measures μ and ν on X , we have*

$$\square((X, \mu), (X, \nu)) \leq 2 d_P(\mu, \nu).$$

Definition 2.16 (Observable distance $d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y)$). Denote by $\mathcal{Lip}_1(X)$ the set of 1-Lipschitz continuous functions on an mm-space X . For any parameter φ of X , we set

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{Lip}_1(X) := \{ f \circ \varphi \mid f \in \mathcal{Lip}_1(X) \}.$$

We define the *observable distance* $d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y)$ between two mm-spaces X and Y by

$$d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y) := \inf_{\varphi, \psi} d_H(\varphi^* \mathcal{Lip}_1(d_X), \psi^* \mathcal{Lip}_1(d_Y)),$$

where $\varphi : I \rightarrow X$ and $\psi : I \rightarrow Y$ run over all parameters of X and Y , respectively, and where d_H is the Hausdorff distance with respect to the metric $\text{me}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$. We say that a sequence of mm-spaces X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, *concentrates* to an mm-space X if X_n d_{conc} -converges to X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of mm-spaces. Then, $\{X_n\}$ is a Lévy family if and only if X_n concentrates to a one-point mm-space as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proposition 2.18. *For any two mm-spaces X and Y we have*

$$d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y) \leq \square(X, Y).$$

2.5. Quotient space. Let X be a metric space and G a group acting on X isometrically. We define a pseudo-metric on the quotient space X/G by

$$d_{X/G}([x], [y]) := \inf_{x' \in [x], y' \in [y]} d_X(x', y'), \quad [x], [y] \in X/G.$$

We call $d_{X/G}$ the *pseudo-metric on X/G induced from d_X* . If every orbit in X of G is closed, then $d_{X/G}$ is a metric.

Assume that we have a Borel measure μ_X on X . Then, we call the measure $\mu_{X/G} := \pi_* \mu_X$ the *measure on X/G induced from μ_X* , where $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ is the natural projection.

2.6. Pyramid.

Definition 2.19 (Pyramid). A subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is called a *pyramid* if it satisfies the following (1), (2), and (3).

- (1) If $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and if $Y \prec X$, then $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (2) For any two mm-spaces $X, X' \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists an mm-space $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $X \prec Y$ and $X' \prec Y$.
- (3) $\mathcal{P}$ is nonempty and $\square$ -closed.

We denote the set of pyramids by Π .

For an mm-space X we define

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \{ X' \in \mathcal{X} \mid X' \prec X \}.$$

We call $\mathcal{P}_X$ the *pyramid associated with X* .

It is trivial that $\mathcal{X}$ is a pyramid.

In Gromov's book [11], the definition of a pyramid is only by (1) and (2) of Definition 2.19. We here put (3) as an additional condition for the Hausdorff property of Π .

Definition 2.20 (Weak convergence). Let $\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P} \in \Pi$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We say that $\mathcal{P}_n$ *converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$* as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if the following (1) and (2) are both satisfied.

(1) For any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) = 0.$$

(2) For any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) > 0.$$

Theorem 2.21. *The set Π of pyramids is sequentially compact, i.e., any sequence of pyramids has a subsequence that converges weakly to a pyramid.*

Proposition 2.22. *For given mm-spaces X and X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the following (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other.*

(1) X_n *concentrates to X* as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) $\mathcal{P}_{X_n}$ *converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}_X$* as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Dissipation is an opposite notion to concentration.

Definition 2.23 (Infinite dissipation). Let X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be mm-spaces. We say that $\{X_n\}$ *infinitely dissipates* if for any real numbers $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$, the separation distance

$$\text{Sep}(X_n; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

diverges to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.24. *Let X_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be mm-spaces. Then, $\{X_n\}$ infinitely dissipates if and only if $\mathcal{P}_{X_n}$ converges to $\mathcal{X}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Let X be an mm-space. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_1(X)$ the quotient of $\mathcal{Lip}_1(X)$ by the $\mathbb{R}$ -action:

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Lip}_1(X) \ni (t, f) \mapsto t + f \in \mathcal{Lip}_1(X).$$

The $\mathbb{R}$ -action on $\mathcal{Lip}_1(X)$ is isometric with respect to the metric me . We denote also by 'me' the induced metric on $\mathcal{L}_1(X)$ from 'me'. We see that $\text{me}([f], [g]) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \text{me}(f + t, g) = \text{me}(f + t_0, g)$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.25. *For any two mm-spaces X and Y we have*

$$d_{GH}(\mathcal{L}_1(X), \mathcal{L}_1(Y)) \leq d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y),$$

where d_{GH} denotes the Gromov-Hausdorff distance function.

Definition 2.26. We say that a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ is *concentrated* if $\{\mathcal{L}_1(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{P}}$ is d_{GH} -precompact.

Lemma 2.27. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid. Then the following (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other.*

- (1) $\mathcal{P}$ is concentrated.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}$ is the weak limit of $\{\mathcal{P}_{X_n}\}$ for some d_{conc} -Cauchy sequence $\{X_n\}$ of mm-spaces.

3. METRIC ON THE SPACE OF PYRAMIDS

The purpose of this section is to define a metric ρ on Π compatible with weak convergence such that the embedding map

$$\iota : \mathcal{X} \ni X \longmapsto \mathcal{P}_X \in \Pi$$

is a 1-Lipschitz continuous with respect to d_{conc} on $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 3.1 ($\mathcal{M}(N)$, $\mathcal{M}(N, R)$, $\mathcal{X}(N, R)$). Let N be a natural number and R a nonnegative real number. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(N)$ the set of Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^N$ equipped with the Prokhorov metric d_P , and set

$$\mathcal{M}(N, R) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(N) \mid \text{supp } \mu \subset B_R^N \},$$

where $B_R^N := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \|x\|_\infty \leq R \}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the l_∞ norm on $\mathbb{R}^N$. We define

$$\mathcal{X}(N, R) := \{ (B_R^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}(N, R) \}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}(N, R)$ and $\mathcal{X}(N, R)$ are compact with respect to d_P and $\square$, respectively.

Definition 3.2 (N -Measurement). Let X be an mm-space, N a natural number, and R a nonnegative real number. We define

$$\mathcal{M}(X; N) := \{ \Phi_* \mu_X \mid \Phi : X \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \text{ is 1-Lipschitz} \},$$

$$\mathcal{M}(X; N, R) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X; N) \mid \text{supp } \mu \subset B_R^N \}.$$

We call $\mathcal{M}(X; N)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(X; N, R)$) the N -measurement (resp. (N, R) -measurement) of X .

The N -measurement $\mathcal{M}(X; N)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}(N)$ and the (N, R) -measurement $\mathcal{M}(X; N, R)$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(N)$.

The following lemma is claimed in [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$] without proof. Since the lemma is important for the definition of ρ , we give a sketch of proof (the detailed proof is lengthy and contained in the book [19]).

Lemma 3.3 ([11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$]). *For given pyramids $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the following (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other.*

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) For any natural number k , the set $\mathcal{P}_n \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ Hausdorff converges to $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the Hausdorff distance is induced from the box metric.

Sketch of proof. We prove ‘(1) $\implies$ (2)’. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$, but $\mathcal{P}_n \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ does not Hausdorff converge to $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ for some k . We then find a subsequence $\{\mathcal{P}_{n_i}\}$ of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ in such a way that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_H(\mathcal{P}_n \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k), \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)) > 0$. Since $\mathcal{X}(k, k)$ is $\square$ -compact and by replacing $\{\mathcal{P}_{n_i}\}$ with a subsequence, $\mathcal{P}_{n_i} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ Hausdorff converges to some compact subset $\mathcal{P}_\infty \subset \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ different from $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$. Since any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ is the limit of some $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the set $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}$, so that $\mathcal{P}_\infty \subset \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$. For any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$, there is a sequence of mm-spaces $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}$ $\square$ -converging to X as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We are able to find a sequence of mm-spaces $X'_i \in \mathcal{X}(k, k)$ with $X'_i \prec X_i$ that $\square$ -converges to X . Since $X'_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$, the space X belongs to $\mathcal{P}_\infty$. Thus we have $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(k, k)$. This is a contradiction.

We prove ‘(2) $\implies$ (1)’. We assume (2). Let $\underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$ be the set of the limits of convergent sequences of mm-spaces $X_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$ the set of the limits of convergent subsequences of mm-spaces $X_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$. We have $\underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$ in general. We shall prove $\underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = \mathcal{P}$.

To prove $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$, we take any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $\mathcal{P} \cap \bigcup_{N=1}^\infty \mathcal{X}(N, N)$ is $\square$ -dense in $\mathcal{P}$, there is a sequence of mm-spaces $X_i \in \mathcal{P} \cap \bigcup_{N=1}^\infty \mathcal{X}(N, N)$ that $\square$ -converges to X . For each i we find a natural number N_i with $X_i \in \mathcal{X}(N_i, N_i)$. By (2), there is a sequence of mm-spaces $X_{in} \in \mathcal{P}_n \cap \mathcal{X}(N_i, N_i)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that $\square$ -converges to X_i for each i . There is a sequence $i_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $X_{i_n n}$ $\square$ -converges to X , so that X belongs to $\underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$. We obtain $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$.

To prove $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \subset \mathcal{P}$, we take any mm-space $X \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$. X is approximated by some $\underline{X}_N = (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \underline{\mu}_N)$, $\underline{\mu}_N \in \mathcal{M}(X; N)$. It is easy to see that for any $R > 0$ there is a unique nearest point projection $\pi_R : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow B_R^N$ with respect to the l_∞ norm. π_R is 1-Lipschitz continuous with respect to the l_∞ norm. Since $(\pi_R)_* \underline{\mu}_N \rightarrow \underline{\mu}_N$ weakly as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, X is approximated by some $X' \in \mathcal{X}(N, R)$ with $X' \prec X$. By the $\square$ -closedness of $\mathcal{P}$, it suffices to prove that X' belongs to $\mathcal{P}$. It follows from $X \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$ that there are sequences $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that X_i $\square$ -converges to X . We find a sequence of mm-spaces X'_i with $X'_i \prec X_i$ that $\square$ -converges to X' . We are also able to find a sequence $X''_i \in \mathcal{X}(N, R)$ such that $X''_i \prec X'_i$ for any i and X''_i converges to X' as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$ is a pyramid, X''_i belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$. By (2), X' is an element of $\mathcal{P}$. We thus obtain $\mathcal{P} = \underline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$.

We prove the weak convergence $\mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Let us verify the first condition of Definition 2.20. Take any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, there is a sequence of mm-spaces $X_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that $\square$ -converges to X . Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, X_n) = 0.$$

Let us verify the second condition of Definition 2.20. Suppose that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) = 0$ for an mm-space X . It suffices to prove that X belongs to $\mathcal{P}$. We find a subsequence $\{\mathcal{P}_{n_i}\}$ of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ in such a way that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_{n_i}) = 0$. There is an mm-space $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}$ for each i such that X_i $\square$ -converges to X as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, X belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = \mathcal{P}$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.4 (Metric on the space of pyramids). Define, for a natural number k and for two pyramids $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') &:= \frac{1}{4k} d_H(\mathcal{P} \cap \chi(k, k), \mathcal{P}' \cap \chi(k, k)), \\ \rho(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \rho_k(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1/(4k)$ in the definition of ρ_k is necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.5. *ρ is a metric on the space Π of pyramids that is compatible with weak convergence. Π is compact with respect to ρ .*

Proof. We first prove that ρ is a metric. Since $\square \leq 1$, we have $\rho_k \leq 1/(4k)$ for each k and then $\rho \leq 1/4$. Each ρ_k is a pseudo-metric on Π and so is ρ . If $\rho(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') = 0$ for two pyramids $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$, then $\rho_k(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') = 0$ for any k , which implies $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'$. Thus, ρ is a metric on Π .

We next prove the compatibility of the metric ρ with weak convergence in Π . It follows from Lemma 3.3 that a sequence of pyramids $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, converges weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ for any k , which is also equivalent to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}) = 0$.

Since Π is sequentially compact (see Theorem 2.21), it is compact with respect to ρ . This completes the proof. $\square$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.6. *Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. For any natural number N we have*

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N), \mathcal{M}(Y; N)) \leq N \cdot d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y),$$

where the Hausdorff distance d_H is defined with respect to the Prokhorov metric d_P .

Proof. Assume that $d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y) < \varepsilon$ for a real number ε . There are two parameters $\varphi : I \rightarrow X$ and $\psi : I \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad d_H(\varphi^* \mathcal{L}ip_1(X), \psi^* \mathcal{L}ip_1(Y)) < \varepsilon.$$

Let us prove that $\mathcal{M}(X; N) \subset B_{N\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M}(Y; N))$. Take any $F_*\mu_X \in \mathcal{M}(X; N)$, where $F : X \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a 1-Lipschitz map. Setting $(f_1, \dots, f_N) := F$ we have $f_i \in \mathcal{L}ip_1(X)$ and so $f_i \circ \varphi \in \varphi^* \mathcal{L}ip_1(X)$. By (3.1), there is a function $g_i \in \mathcal{L}ip_1(Y)$ such that $\text{me}(f_i \circ \varphi, g_i \circ \psi) < \varepsilon$. Since $G := (g_1, \dots, g_N) : Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is 1-Lipschitz, we have $G_*\mu_Y \in \mathcal{M}(Y; N)$. We prove $d_P(F_*\mu_X, G_*\mu_Y) \leq N\varepsilon$ in the following. For this, it suffices to prove $F_*\mu_X(B_\varepsilon(A)) \geq G_*\mu_Y(A) - N\varepsilon$ for any Borel subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $F_*\mu_X = (F \circ \varphi)_* \mathcal{L}^1$ and $G_*\mu_Y = (G \circ \psi)_* \mathcal{L}^1$, we have

$$F_*\mu_X(B_\varepsilon(A)) = \mathcal{L}^1((F \circ \varphi)^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(A))), \quad G_*\mu_Y(A) = \mathcal{L}^1((G \circ \psi)^{-1}(A)).$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$\mathcal{L}^1((G \circ \psi)^{-1}(A) \setminus (F \circ \varphi)^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(A))) \leq N\varepsilon.$$

If we take $s \in (G \circ \psi)^{-1}(A) \setminus (F \circ \varphi)^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(A))$, then $G \circ \psi(s) \in A$ and $F \circ \varphi(s) \notin B_\varepsilon(A)$ together imply

$$\|F \circ \varphi(s) - G \circ \psi(s)\|_\infty > \varepsilon$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^1((G \circ \psi)^{-1}(A) \setminus (F \circ \varphi)^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(A))) \\ & \leq \mathcal{L}^1(\{s \in I \mid \|F \circ \varphi(s) - G \circ \psi(s)\|_\infty > \varepsilon\}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}^1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N \{s \in I \mid |f_i \circ \varphi(s) - g_i \circ \psi(s)| > \varepsilon\}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}^1(\{s \in I \mid |f_i \circ \varphi(s) - g_i \circ \psi(s)| > \varepsilon\}) \\ & \leq N\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\text{me}(f_i \circ \varphi, g_i \circ \psi) < \varepsilon$. We thus obtain $d_P(F_*\mu_X, G_*\mu_Y) \leq N\varepsilon$, so that $\mathcal{M}(X; N) \subset B_{N\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M}(Y; N))$. Since this also holds if we exchange X and Y , we have

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N), \mathcal{M}(Y; N)) \leq N\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. Then, for any natural number N and nonnegative real number R we have*

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N, R), \mathcal{M}(Y; N, R)) \leq 2d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N), \mathcal{M}(Y; N)),$$

where the Hausdorff distance d_H is defined with respect to the Prokhorov metric d_P on $\mathcal{M}(N)$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon := d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N), \mathcal{M}(Y; N))$. For any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X; N, R)$ there is a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(Y; N)$ such that $d_P(\mu, \nu) \leq \varepsilon$. This implies

$$(3.2) \quad \nu(B_\varepsilon(B_R^N)) \geq \mu(B_R^N) - \varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Let $\pi = \pi_R : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow B_R^N$ be the nearest point projection. This is 1-Lipschitz and satisfies $\pi|_{B_R^N} = \text{id}_{B_R^N}$. We have $\pi_*\nu \in \mathcal{M}(Y; N, R)$. By Lemma 2.12 and (3.2), we see $d_P(\pi_*\nu, \nu) \leq \text{me}_\nu(\pi, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^N}) \leq \varepsilon$ and hence

$$d_P(\mu, \pi_*\nu) \leq d_P(\mu, \nu) + d_P(\nu, \pi_*\nu) \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

so that $\mathcal{M}(X; N, R) \subset B_{2\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M}(Y; N, R))$. Exchanging X and Y yields $\mathcal{M}(Y; N, R) \subset B_{2\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M}(X; N, R))$. We thus obtain

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N, R), \mathcal{M}(Y; N, R)) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Let X and Y be two mm-spaces, N a natural number, and R a nonnegative real number. Then we have*

$$d_H(\mathcal{P}_X \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R), \mathcal{P}_Y \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R)) \leq 2 d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N, R), \mathcal{M}(Y; N, R)).$$

Proof. The lemma follows from Proposition 2.15. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemmas 3.8, 3.6, and 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_H(\mathcal{P}_X \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R), \mathcal{P}_Y \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R)) \\ & \leq 2 d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N, R), \mathcal{M}(Y; N, R)) \\ & \leq 4 d_H(\mathcal{M}(X; N), \mathcal{M}(Y; N)) \leq 4N d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\rho_k(\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{P}_Y) \leq d_{\text{conc}}(X, Y)$ for any k . This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

4. GAUSSIAN SPACE AND HOPF QUOTIENT

In this section we present the precise definitions of the spaces appeared in Theorem 1.1.

For $\lambda > 0$, let $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^n$ denote the n -dimensional centered Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with variance λ^2 , i.e.,

$$\gamma_{\lambda^2}^n(A) := \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_A e^{-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\|x\|_2^2} dx$$

for a Lebesgue measurable subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where dx is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ the l_2 (or Euclidean) norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We put $\gamma^n := \gamma_1^n$, which is the n -dimensional standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that the n -th product measure of $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^1$ coincides with $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^n$. We call the mm-space $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^n := (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, \gamma_{\lambda^2}^n)$ the n -dimensional Gaussian space with variance λ^2 . Call $\Gamma^n := \Gamma_1^n$ the n -dimensional standard Gaussian space. For $k \leq n$, we denote by $\pi_k^n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ the natural projection, i.e.,

$$\pi_k^n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since the projection $\pi_{n-1}^n : \Gamma_{\lambda^2}^n \rightarrow \Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{n-1}$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous and measure-preserving for any $n \geq 2$, the Gaussian space $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^n$ is monotone increasing in n with respect to the Lipschitz order, so that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the associated pyramid $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^n}$ converges to the $\square$ -closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^n}$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{\infty}}$. We call $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{\infty}}$ the *virtual infinite-dimensional Gaussian space with variance λ^2* . Call $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^{\infty}} := \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_1^{\infty}}$ the *virtual infinite-dimensional standard Gaussian space*.

Recall that the *Hopf action* is the following S^1 -action on $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^n \ni (e^{\sqrt{-1}t}, z) \longmapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}t} z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where S^1 is the group of unit complex numbers under multiplication. Since the projection $\pi_{2k}^{2n} : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$, $k \leq n$, is S^1 -equivariant, i.e., $\pi_{2k}^{2n}(e^{\sqrt{-1}t} z) = e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \pi_{2k}^{2n}(z)$ for any $e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \in S^1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there exists a unique map $\bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n} : \mathbb{C}^n/S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k/S^1$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{S^1} & \mathbb{C}^n/S^1 \\ \pi_{2k}^{2n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n} \\ \mathbb{C}^k & \xrightarrow{S^1} & \mathbb{C}^k/S^1 \end{array}$$

We consider the Hopf action on $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}$ by identifying $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $\mathbb{C}^n$. The Hopf action is isometric with respect to the Euclidean distance and also preserves the Gaussian measure $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}$. Let

$$\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}/S^1 = (\mathbb{C}^n/S^1, d_{\mathbb{C}^n/S^1}, \bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^{2n})$$

be the quotient space with the induced mm-structure (see §2.5). Note that this is isometric to the Euclidean cone (cf. [4]) over a complex projective space of complex dimension $n-1$ with the Fubini-Study metric. Since the map $\bar{\pi}_{2(n-1)}^{2n} : \Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}/S^1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2(n-1)}/S^1$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous and pushes $\bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^{2n}$ forward to $\bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^{2(n-1)}$, the quotient space $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}/S^1$ is monotone increasing in n with respect to the Lipschitz order. The associated pyramid $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}/S^1}$ converges to the $\square$ -closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2n}/S^1}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^{\infty}/S^1}$. We put $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^{\infty}/S^1} := \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_1^{\infty}/S^1}$.

5. ESTIMATE OF OBSERVABLE DIAMETER

In this section, we give some estimates of the observable diameters of spheres and complex projective spaces, which are little extensions of known results (see [11, 13]).

Let σ^n denotes the normalized volume measure on the sphere

$$S^n(r) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|x\|_2 = r \}$$

of radius $r > 0$. For $k \leq n$, we consider the restriction of the projection $\pi_k^{n+1} : S^n(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, which is 1-Lipschitz continuous with respect to the geodesic distance and also to the restriction of the Euclidean distance to $S^n(r)$.

Recall that *weak* (resp. *vague*) *convergence of measures* means weak-* convergence in the dual of the space of bounded continuous functions (resp. continuous functions with compact support).

The following is well-known and the proof is elementary.

Proposition 5.1 (Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law¹). *For any natural number k we have*

$$\frac{d(\pi_k^{n+1})_*\sigma^n}{d\mathcal{L}^k} \rightarrow \frac{d\gamma^k}{d\mathcal{L}^k} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where σ^n is the normalized volume measure on $S^n(\sqrt{n})$ and $\frac{d}{d\mathcal{L}^k}$ means the Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure. In particular,

$$(\pi_k^{n+1})_*\sigma^n \rightarrow \gamma^k \text{ weakly} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We prove the following theorem, since we find no proof in any literature.

Theorem 5.2 (Normal law à la Lévy; Gromov [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$]).

Let $f_n : S^n(\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be 1-Lipschitz continuous functions with respect to the geodesic distance on $S^n(\sqrt{n})$. Assume that, for a subsequence $\{f_{n_i}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$, the push-forward $(f_{n_i})_*\sigma^{n_i}$ converges vaguely to a Borel measure σ_∞ on $\mathbb{R}$, and that σ_∞ is not identically equal to zero. Then, σ_∞ is a probability measure and

$$(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \sigma_\infty) \prec (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \gamma^1),$$

i.e., there exists a 1-Lipschitz continuous function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_*\gamma^1 = \sigma_\infty$.

Note that there always exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_i}\}$ such that $(f_{n_i})_*\sigma^{n_i}$ converges vaguely to some finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$.

We need some claims for the proof of Theorem 5.2. The following theorem is well-known.

Theorem 5.3 (Lévy's isoperimetric inequality [6, 14]). *For any closed subset $\Omega \subset S^n(1)$, we take a metric ball B_Ω of $S^n(1)$ with $\sigma^n(B_\Omega) = \sigma^n(\Omega)$. Then we have*

$$\sigma^n(U_r(\Omega)) \geq \sigma^n(U_r(B_\Omega))$$

for any $r > 0$, where $U_r(\Omega)$ denotes the open r -neighborhood of Ω with respect to the geodesic distance on $S^n(\sqrt{n})$.

We assume the condition of Theorem 5.2. Consider a natural compactification $\bar{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Then, by replacing $\{f_{n_i}\}$ with a subsequence, $\{(f_{n_i})_*\sigma^{n_i}\}$ converges weakly to a probability measure $\bar{\sigma}_\infty$ on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$. We have $\bar{\sigma}_\infty|_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma_\infty$ and $\sigma_\infty(\mathbb{R}) + \bar{\sigma}_\infty\{-\infty, +\infty\} = \bar{\sigma}_\infty(\bar{\mathbb{R}}) = 1$. We prove the following

¹This is also called the Poincaré limit theorem in many literature. However, there is no evidence that Poincaré proved this (see [5, §6.1]).

Lemma 5.4. *Let x and x' be two given real numbers. If $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] = \bar{\sigma}_\infty[-\infty, x']$ and if $\sigma_\infty\{x'\} = 0$, then*

$$\sigma_\infty[x' - \varepsilon_1, x' + \varepsilon_2] \geq \gamma^1[x - \varepsilon_1, x + \varepsilon_2]$$

for all real numbers $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$. In particular, σ_∞ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_\infty\{-\infty, +\infty\} = 0$.

Proof. We set $\Omega_+ := \{f_{n_i} \geq x'\}$ and $\Omega_- := \{f_{n_i} \leq x'\}$. We have $\Omega_+ \cup \Omega_- = S^{n_i}(\sqrt{n_i})$. Let us prove

$$(5.1) \quad U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+) \cap U_{\varepsilon_2}(\Omega_-) \subset \{x' - \varepsilon_1 < f_{n_i} < x' + \varepsilon_2\}.$$

In fact, for any point $\xi \in U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+)$, there is a point $\xi' \in \Omega_+$ such that the geodesic distance between ξ and ξ' is less than ε_1 . The 1-Lipschitz continuity of f_{n_i} proves that $f_{n_i}(\xi) > f_{n_i}(\xi') - \varepsilon_1 \geq x' - \varepsilon_1$. Thus we have $U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+) \subset \{x' - \varepsilon_1 < f_{n_i}\}$ and, in the same way, $U_{\varepsilon_2}(\Omega_-) \subset \{f_{n_i} < x' + \varepsilon_2\}$. Combining these two inclusions implies (5.1).

It follows from (5.1) and $U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+) \cup U_{\varepsilon_2}(\Omega_-) = S^{n_i}(\sqrt{n_i})$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_{n_i})_* \sigma^{n_i}[x' - \varepsilon_1, x' + \varepsilon_2] \\ &= \sigma^{n_i}(x' - \varepsilon_1 \leq f_{n_i} \leq x' + \varepsilon_2) \geq \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+) \cap U_{\varepsilon_2}(\Omega_-)) \\ &= \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+)) + \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_2}(\Omega_-)) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^{n_i}(P)$ means the σ^{n_i} -measure of the set of points satisfying a conditional formula P . The Lévy's isoperimetric inequality (Theorem 5.3) implies $\sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_1}(\Omega_+)) \geq \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_1}(B_{\Omega_+}))$ and $\sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_2}(\Omega_-)) \geq \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_2}(B_{\Omega_-}))$, so that

$$(f_{n_i})_* \sigma^{n_i}[x' - \varepsilon_1, x' + \varepsilon_2] \geq \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_1}(B_{\Omega_+})) + \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_2}(B_{\Omega_-})) - 1.$$

It follows from $\sigma_\infty\{x'\} = 0$ that $\sigma^{n_i}(\Omega_+)$ converges to $\bar{\sigma}_\infty(x', +\infty]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We besides have $\bar{\sigma}_\infty(x', +\infty] = \gamma^1(x, +\infty) \neq 0, 1$. Therefore, there is a number i_0 such that $\sigma^{n_i}(\Omega_+) \neq 0, 1$ for all $i \geq i_0$. For each $i \geq i_0$ we have a unique real number a_i satisfying $\gamma^1[a_i, +\infty) = \sigma^{n_i}(\Omega_+)$. The number a_i converges to x as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law (Proposition 5.1),

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_1}(B_{\Omega_+})) = \gamma^1[x - \varepsilon_1, +\infty)$$

as well as

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{n_i}(U_{\varepsilon_2}(B_{\Omega_-})) = \gamma^1(-\infty, x + \varepsilon_2].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\infty[x' - \varepsilon_1, x' + \varepsilon_2] &\geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f_{n_i})_* \sigma^{n_i}[x' - \varepsilon_1, x' + \varepsilon_2] \\ &\geq \gamma^1[x - \varepsilon_1, +\infty) + \gamma^1(-\infty, x + \varepsilon_2] - 1 \\ &= \gamma^1[x - \varepsilon_1, x + \varepsilon_2]. \end{aligned}$$

The first part of the lemma is obtained.

The rest of the proof is to show that $\sigma_\infty(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. Suppose $\sigma_\infty(\mathbb{R}) < 1$. Then, since $\sigma_\infty(\mathbb{R}) > 0$, there is a non-atomic point x' of σ_∞ such that $0 < \bar{\sigma}_\infty[-\infty, x') < 1$. We find a real number x in such a way that $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] = \bar{\sigma}_\infty[-\infty, x']$. The first part of the lemma implies $\sigma_\infty[x' - \varepsilon_1, x' + \varepsilon_2] \geq \gamma^1[x - \varepsilon_1, x + \varepsilon_2]$ for all $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$. Taking the limit as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain $\sigma_\infty(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *supp σ_∞ is a closed interval.*

Proof. supp σ_∞ is a closed set by the definition of the support of a measure. It then suffices to prove the connectivity of supp σ_∞ . Suppose not. Then, there are numbers x' and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sigma_\infty(-\infty, x' - \varepsilon) > 0$, $\sigma_\infty[x' - \varepsilon, x' + \varepsilon] = 0$, and $\sigma_\infty(x' + \varepsilon, +\infty) > 0$. There is a number x such that $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] = \sigma_\infty(-\infty, x']$. Lemma 5.4 shows that $\sigma_\infty[x' - \varepsilon, x' + \varepsilon] \geq \gamma^1[x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] > 0$, which is a contradiction. The lemma has been proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.2. For any given real number x , there exists a smallest number x' satisfying $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] \leq \sigma_\infty(-\infty, x']$. The existence of x' follows from the right-continuity and the monotonicity of $y \mapsto \sigma_\infty(-\infty, y]$. Setting $\alpha(x) := x'$ we have a function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is monotone nondecreasing.

We first prove the continuity of α in the following. Take any two numbers x_1 and x_2 with $x_1 < x_2$. We have $\gamma^1(-\infty, x_1] \leq \sigma_\infty(-\infty, \alpha(x_1))$ and $\gamma^1(-\infty, x_2] \geq \sigma_\infty(-\infty, \alpha(x_2))$, which imply

$$(5.2) \quad \gamma^1[x_1, x_2] \geq \sigma_\infty(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2)).$$

This shows that, as $x_1 \rightarrow a - 0$ and $x_2 \rightarrow a + 0$ for a number a , we have $\sigma_\infty(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2)) \rightarrow 0$, which together with Lemma 5.5 implies $\alpha(x_2) - \alpha(x_1) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, α is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.

Let us next prove the 1-Lipschitz continuity of α . We take two numbers x and $\varepsilon > 0$ and fix them. It suffices to prove that

$$\Delta\alpha := \alpha(x + \varepsilon) - \alpha(x) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Claim 5.6. *If $\sigma_\infty\{\alpha(x)\} = 0$, then $\Delta\alpha \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. The claim is trivial if $\Delta\alpha = 0$. We thus assume $\Delta\alpha > 0$. Since $\sigma_\infty\{\alpha(x)\} = 0$, we have $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] = \sigma_\infty(-\infty, \alpha(x))$, so that Lemma 5.4 implies that

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma_\infty[\alpha(x), \alpha(x) + \delta] \geq \gamma^1[x, x + \delta]$$

for all $\delta \geq 0$. By (5.2) and (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^1[x, x + \varepsilon] &\geq \sigma_\infty(\alpha(x), \alpha(x + \varepsilon)) \\
&= \sigma_\infty[\alpha(x), \alpha(x) + \Delta\alpha] \\
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \Delta\alpha - 0} \sigma_\infty[\alpha(x), \alpha(x) + \delta] \\
&\geq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \Delta\alpha - 0} \gamma^1[x, x + \delta] \\
&= \gamma^1[x, x + \Delta\alpha],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\Delta\alpha \leq \varepsilon$. $\square$

We next prove that $\Delta\alpha \leq \varepsilon$ in the case where $\sigma_\infty\{\alpha(x)\} > 0$. We may assume that $\Delta\alpha > 0$. Let $x_+ := \sup \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x))$. It follows from $\alpha(x) < \alpha(x + \varepsilon)$ that $x_+ < x + \varepsilon$. The continuity of α implies that $\alpha(x_+) = \alpha(x)$. There is a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that $\sigma_\infty\{\alpha(x_+ + \varepsilon_i)\} = 0$. By applying the claim above,

$$\alpha(x_+ + \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon) - \alpha(x_+ + \varepsilon_i) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover we have $\alpha(x + \varepsilon) \leq \alpha(x_+ + \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon)$ and $\alpha(x_+ + \varepsilon_i) \rightarrow \alpha(x_+) = \alpha(x)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\alpha(x + \varepsilon) - \alpha(x) \leq \varepsilon$$

and so α is 1-Lipschitz continuous.

The rest is to prove that $\alpha_*\gamma^1 = \sigma_\infty$. Take any number $x' \in \alpha(\mathbb{R})$ and fix it. Set $x := \sup \alpha^{-1}(x') (\leq +\infty)$. We then have $\alpha(x) = x'$ provided $x < +\infty$. Since x is the largest number to satisfy $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] \leq \sigma_\infty(-\infty, x']$, we have $\gamma^1(-\infty, x] = \sigma_\infty(-\infty, x']$, where we agree $\gamma^1(-\infty, +\infty] = 1$. By the monotonicity of α , we obtain

$$\alpha_*\gamma^1(-\infty, x'] = \gamma^1(\alpha^{-1}(-\infty, x']) = \gamma^1(-\infty, x] = \sigma_\infty(-\infty, x'],$$

which implies that $\alpha_*\gamma^1 = \sigma_\infty$ because σ_∞ is a Borel probability measure. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.7. *Let X be an mm-space. Then, for any real number $t > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$, we have*

$$\text{ObsDiam}(tX; -\kappa) = t \text{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{ObsDiam}(tX; -\kappa) \\
&= \sup\{ \text{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1 - \kappa) \mid f : tX \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ 1-Lipschitz} \} \\
&= \sup\{ \text{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1 - \kappa) \mid t^{-1}f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ 1-Lipschitz} \} \\
&= \sup\{ \text{diam}((tg)_*\mu_X; 1 - \kappa) \mid g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ 1-Lipschitz} \} \\
&= t \text{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. If $r_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a real number λ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ObsDiam}(S^n(r_n); -\kappa) = \text{diam}(\gamma_{\lambda^2}^1; 1 - \kappa) = 2\lambda I^{-1}((1 - \kappa)/2),$$

for any real number κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$, where $I(r) := \gamma^1[0, r]$. This holds for the geodesic distance function on $S^n(r_n)$ and also for the restriction to $S^n(r_n)$ of the Euclidean distance function on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the corollary in the case where $r_n = \sqrt{n}$, because of Proposition 5.7. We assume that $r_n = \sqrt{n}$.

The corollary for the geodesic distance function follows from the normal law à la Lévy (Theorem 5.2) and the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law (Proposition 5.1).

Assume that the distance function on $S^n(\sqrt{n})$ to be Euclidean. Since the Euclidean distance is not greater than the geodesic distance, the normal law à la Lévy still holds. Clearly, the projection $\pi_k^{n+1} : S^n(\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is 1-Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean distance function. Thus, the corollary follows from the normal law à la Lévy and the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.9. *Let X be a metric space and G a group acting on X isometrically. Then, for any two Borel probability measures μ and ν on X , we have*

$$d_P(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \leq d_P(\mu, \nu),$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ denotes the push-forward of μ by the projection $X \rightarrow X/G$.

Proof. Take any Borel subset $\bar{A} \subset X/G$ and set $A := \pi^{-1}(\bar{A})$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ is the projection. Assume that $d_P(\mu, \nu) < \varepsilon$ for a real number ε . Since $\pi^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(\bar{A})) \supset B_\varepsilon(A)$, we have

$$\bar{\mu}(B_\varepsilon(\bar{A})) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(\bar{A}))) \geq \mu(B_\varepsilon(A)) \geq \nu(A) - \varepsilon = \bar{\nu}(\bar{A}) - \varepsilon$$

and therefore $d_P(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \leq \varepsilon$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Denote by ζ^n the normalized volume measure on $\mathbb{C}P^n(r)$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. Note that $\zeta_n = \bar{\sigma}^{2n+1}$, where $\bar{\sigma}^{2n+1}$ denotes the push-forward of σ^{2n+1} by the Hopf fibration $S^{2n+1}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n(r)$.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\{r_n\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $r_n/\sqrt{2n+1} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a real number λ . Then, for any natural number k we have*

$$(\bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \zeta^n \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^{2k} \text{ weakly as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n+2} : \mathbb{C}P^n(r_n) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k/S^1$ is as in §4 and $\bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^{2k}$ the push-forward of $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2k}$ by the projection $\mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k/S^1$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, $(\pi_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \sigma^{2n}$ converges weakly to $\gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the following, a measure with upper bar means the push-forward by the projection to the Hopf quotient. Since $(\bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \zeta^n = (\bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \bar{\sigma}^{2n} = \overline{(\pi_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \sigma^{2n}}$, and by Lemma 5.9, we have

$$d_P((\bar{\pi}_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \zeta^n, \bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^{2k}) \leq d_P((\pi_{2k}^{2n+2})_* \sigma^{2n}, \gamma_{\lambda^2}^{2k}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.11. *Let $\{r_n\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. If $r_n/\sqrt{2n+1} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a real number λ , then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ObsDiam}(\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n); -\kappa) \leq 2\lambda I^{-1}((1-\kappa)/2),$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ObsDiam}(\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n); -\kappa) \geq \lambda \text{diam}([0, +\infty), re^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr; 1-\kappa).$$

These inequalities both hold for the scaled Fubini-Study metric and also for the induced distance function from the Euclidean distance on $S^{2n+1}(r_n)$.

Proof. The upper estimate follows from $\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n) \prec S^{2n}(r_n)$ and Corollary 5.8.

Since $\bar{\pi}_2^{2n+2} : \mathbb{C}P^n(r_n) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/S^1$ is 1-Lipschitz, Proposition 5.10 proves

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ObsDiam}(\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n); -\kappa) &\geq \text{diam}((\mathbb{C}/S^1, \bar{\gamma}_{\lambda^2}^2); 1-\kappa) \\ &= \lambda \text{diam}([0, +\infty), re^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr; 1-\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We conjecture that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ObsDiam}(\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n); -\kappa) = \lambda \text{diam}([0, +\infty), re^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr; 1-\kappa)$$

if $r_n/\sqrt{2n+1} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

6. LIMITS OF SPHERES AND COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using several claims proved before. We need some more lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *For any real number θ with $0 < \theta < 1$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{n+1} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \theta\sqrt{n} \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \theta^{-1}\sqrt{n} \} = 1.$$

Proof. Considering the polar coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we see that

$$\gamma^{n+1} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|x\|_2 \leq r \} = \frac{\int_0^r t^n e^{-t^2/2} dt}{\int_0^\infty t^n e^{-t^2/2} dt}.$$

Integrating the both sides of $(\log(t^n e^{-t^2/2}))'' = -n/t^2 - 1 \leq -1$ over $[t, \sqrt{n}]$ with $0 < t \leq \sqrt{n}$ yields

$$-(\log(t^n e^{-t^2/2}))' = (\log(t^n e^{-t^2/2}))'|_{t=\sqrt{n}} - (\log(t^n e^{-t^2/2}))' \leq t - \sqrt{n}.$$

Integrating this again over $[t, \sqrt{n}]$ implies

$$\log(t^n e^{-t^2/2}) - \log(n^{n/2} e^{-n/2}) \leq -\frac{(t - \sqrt{n})^2}{2},$$

so that $t^n e^{-t^2/2} \leq n^{n/2} e^{-n/2} e^{-(t-\sqrt{n})^2/2}$ and then, for any r with $0 \leq r \leq \sqrt{n}$,

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{n}-r} t^n e^{-t^2/2} dt \leq n^{n/2} e^{-n/2} \int_r^{\sqrt{n}} e^{-t^2/2} dt \leq n^{n/2} e^{-n/2} e^{-r^2/2}.$$

Stirling's approximation implies

$$\int_0^\infty t^n e^{-t^2/2} dt = 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-s} ds \approx \sqrt{\pi} (n-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{n+1} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|x\|_2 \leq \theta \sqrt{n} \} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{n/2} e^{-n/2} e^{-(1-\theta)^2 n/2}}{\sqrt{\pi} (n-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}} = 0.$$

The same calculation leads us to obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{n+1} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|x\|_2 \geq \theta^{-1} \sqrt{n} \} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

For a positive real number t and a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, we put

$$t\mathcal{P} := \{ tX \mid X \in \mathcal{P} \},$$

where $tX := (X, t d_X, \mu_X)$. The following lemma is obvious and the proof is omitted.

Lemma 6.2. *Assume that a sequence of pyramids $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, converges weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, and that a sequence of positive real numbers t_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, converges to a positive real number t . Then, $t_n \mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $t\mathcal{P}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) follows from Corollaries 5.8 and 5.11.

We prove (2) for $S^n(r_n)$. We first assume that $r_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Take any finitely many positive real numbers $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$, and fix them. We find positive real numbers $\kappa'_0, \kappa'_1, \dots, \kappa'_N$ in such a way that $\kappa_i < \kappa'_i$ for any i and $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa'_i < 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are Borel subsets $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma^1(A_i) \geq \kappa'_i$ for any i and

$$\min_{i \neq j} d_{\mathbb{R}}(A_i, A_j) > \text{Sep}((\mathbb{R}, \gamma^1); \kappa'_0, \dots, \kappa'_N) - \varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that all A_i 's are open. Let $f_n := \pi_1^n|_{S^n(\sqrt{n})} : S^n(\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Proposition 5.1 tells us that $(f_n)_* \sigma^n$ converges weakly to γ^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since A_i is open,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^n((f_n)^{-1}(A_i)) \geq \gamma^1(A_i) \geq \kappa'_i > \kappa_i.$$

There is a natural number n_0 such that $\sigma^n((f_n)^{-1}(A_i)) \geq \kappa_i$ for any i and $n \geq n_0$. Since f_n is 1-Lipschitz continuous for the Riemannian metric and also for the Euclidean distance on $S^n(\sqrt{n})$, we have

$$d_{S^n(\sqrt{n})}((f_n)^{-1}(A_i), (f_n)^{-1}(A_j)) \geq d_{\mathbb{R}}(A_i, A_j).$$

Therefore, for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$\text{Sep}(S^n(\sqrt{n}); \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) > \text{Sep}((\mathbb{R}, \gamma^1); \kappa'_0, \dots, \kappa'_N) - \varepsilon,$$

which proves

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Sep}(S^n(\sqrt{n}); \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) \geq \text{Sep}((\mathbb{R}, \gamma^1); \kappa'_0, \dots, \kappa'_N) > 0.$$

Since $r_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(6.1) \quad \text{Sep}(S^n(r_n); \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) = \frac{r_n}{\sqrt{n}} \text{Sep}(S^n(\sqrt{n}); \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

is divergent to infinity and so $\{S^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ infinitely dissipates.

We next prove the converse. Assume that $\{S^n(r_n)\}$ infinitely dissipates and $r_n/\sqrt{n}$ is not divergent to infinity. Then, there is a subsequence $\{r_{n(j)}\}$ of $\{r_n\}$ such that $r_{n(j)}/\sqrt{n(j)}$ is bounded for all j . By (6.1), $\{S^{n(j)}(\sqrt{n(j)})\}_j$ infinitely dissipates. However, for each fixed κ with $0 < \kappa < 1/2$, $\text{ObsDiam}(S^n(\sqrt{n}); -\kappa)$ is bounded for all n by Corollary 5.8, and, by Proposition 2.9, so is $\text{Sep}(S^n(\sqrt{n}); \kappa, \kappa)$, which contradicts that $\{S^{n(j)}(\sqrt{n(j)})\}$ infinitely dissipates. This completes the proof of (2).

(2) for $\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)$ is proved in the same way as for $S^n(r_n)$ by using Proposition 5.10 and Corollary 5.11 instead of Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.8.

We prove (3) for $S^n(r_n)$. By Lemma 6.2 and by $\Gamma_{\lambda^2}^n = \lambda \Gamma^n$, it suffices to prove it in the case of $r_n = \sqrt{n}$. We assume that $r_n = \sqrt{n}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_{S^n(\sqrt{n})}$ does not converge weakly to $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, by the compactness of Π , there is a subsequence $\{\mathcal{P}_{S^{n_i}(\sqrt{n_i})}\}$ of $\{\mathcal{P}_{S^n(\sqrt{n})}\}$ that converges weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$. It follows from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution law (Proposition 5.1) that Γ^k belongs to $\mathcal{P}$ for any k , so that $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}$. We take any real number θ with $0 < \theta < 1$ and fix it. It follows from Lemma 6.2 that $\mathcal{P}_{S^{n_i}(\theta\sqrt{n_i})}$ converges weakly to $\theta\mathcal{P}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Define a function $f_{\theta,n} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$f_{\theta,n}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{\theta\sqrt{n}}{\|x\|_2} x & \text{if } \|x\|_2 > \theta\sqrt{n}, \\ x & \text{if } \|x\|_2 \leq \theta\sqrt{n}, \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. $f_{\theta,n}$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous with respect to the Euclidean distance. Let σ_θ^n be the normalized volume measure on $S^n(\theta\sqrt{n})$. We consider σ_θ^n as a measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ via the natural embedding $S^n(\theta\sqrt{n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. From Lemma 6.1, we have

$$d_P((f_{\theta,n})_*\gamma^{n+1}, \sigma_\theta^n) \leq \gamma^{n+1}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|x\|_2 < \theta\sqrt{n}\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that the box distance between $S_{\theta,n} := (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \|\cdot\|_2, (f_{\theta,n})_*\gamma^{n+1})$ and $S^n(\theta\sqrt{n})$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 2.18 and Theorem 1.2, we have $\rho(\mathcal{P}_{S_{\theta,n}}, \mathcal{P}_{S^n(\theta\sqrt{n})}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_{S_{\theta,n_i}}$ converges weakly to $\theta\mathcal{P}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $S_{\theta,n} \prec (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \|\cdot\|_2, \gamma^{n+1})$, we have $\mathcal{P}_{S_{\theta,n}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^{n+1}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$. We thus obtain $\theta\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}$ for any θ with $0 < \theta < 1$ and so $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of (3) for $S^n(r_n)$.

We prove (3) for $\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)$. The proof is similar to that for $S^n(r_n)$. We may assume that $r_n = \sqrt{2n+1}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}P^n(\sqrt{2n+1})}$ does not converge weakly to $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty/S^1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, by the compactness of Π , there is a subsequence $\{\mathbb{C}P^{n(j)}(\sqrt{2n(j)+1})\}_j$ of $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(\sqrt{2n+1})\}_n$ that converges weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty/S^1}$. Proposition 5.10 proves that $\Gamma^{2k}/S^1 \subset \mathcal{P}$ for any k and so $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty/S^1} \subset \mathcal{P}$. We take any real number θ with $0 < \theta < 1$ and fix it. By Lemma 6.2, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}P^{n(j)}(\theta\sqrt{2n(j)+1})}$ converges weakly to $\theta\mathcal{P}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $f_{\theta,2n+1} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be as above by identifying $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. $f_{\theta,2n+1}$ is S^1 -equivariant and induces a map $\bar{f}_{\theta,n} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/S^1$, which is 1-Lipschitz continuous. Let σ_θ^{2n+1} be the normalized volume measure on $S^{2n+1}(\theta\sqrt{2n+1})$. Since $(f_{\theta,2n+1})_*\gamma^{2n+2}|_{S^{2n+1}(\theta\sqrt{2n+1})}$ is a constant multiple of σ_θ^{2n+1} , the measure $\overline{(f_{\theta,2n+1})_*\gamma^{2n+2}}|_{\mathbb{C}P^n(\theta\sqrt{2n+1})}$ is also a constant multiple of $\bar{\sigma}_\theta^{2n+1}$, where the upper bar means the push-forward of a measure by the projection to the Hopf quotient space. We see that

$$\overline{(f_{\theta,2n+1})_*\gamma^{2n+2}} = (\bar{f}_{\theta,2n+2})_*\bar{\gamma}^{2n+2}.$$

By Lemmas 5.9 and 6.1, we have

$$d_P((\bar{f}_{\theta,2n+2})_*\bar{\gamma}^{2n+2}, \bar{\sigma}_\theta^{2n+1}) \leq d_P((f_{\theta,2n+1})_*\gamma^{2n+2}, \sigma_\theta^{2n+1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that the box distance between $Y_{\theta,n} := (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \|\cdot\|_2, (\bar{f}_{\theta,n})_*\bar{\gamma}^{2n+2})$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n\{\theta\sqrt{2n+1}\}$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The rest of the proof is same as before. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

7. d_{conc} -CAUCHY PROPERTY AND BOX CONVERGENCE

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.3 and prove the non-convergence property for spheres and complex projective spaces with respect to the box distance.

Proposition 7.1. *The virtual infinite-dimensional standard Gaussian space $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$ is not concentrated.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_{n,i} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the functions defined by

$$\varphi_{n,i}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := x_i, \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Each $\varphi_{n,i}$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous and we have the elements $[\varphi_{n,i}]$ of $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma^n)$. For any different i and j ,

$$\text{me}_{\gamma^n}([\varphi_{n,i}], [\varphi_{n,j}]) = \text{me}_{\gamma^2}([\varphi_{2,1}], [\varphi_{2,2}]) = \text{me}_{\gamma^2}(\varphi_{2,1}, \varphi_{2,2} + t)$$

for some real number t . If $\text{me}_{\gamma^2}(\varphi_{2,1}, \varphi_{2,2} + t) = 0$ were to hold, then $\varphi_{2,1} = \varphi_{2,2} + t$ almost everywhere, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\text{me}_{\gamma^n}([\varphi_{n,i}], [\varphi_{n,j}])$ is a positive constant independent of n , i , and j with $i \neq j$. This implies that $\{\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not d_{GH} -precompact. Since $\Gamma^n \in \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$, the pyramid $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$ is not concentrated. This completes the proof. $\square$

As a direct consequence of Proposition 7.1, Lemma 2.27, and Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Corollary 7.2. (1) $\{\Gamma^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has no d_{conc} -Cauchy subsequence.
 (2) If $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers with $r_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{S^n(r_n)\}$ has no d_{conc} -Cauchy subsequence.

Proof. (1) follows from Proposition 7.1 and Lemma 2.27.

Theorem 1.1(3) implies that $\mathcal{P}_{S^n(r_n)}$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which together with Proposition 7.1 and Lemma 2.27 proves (2) of the corollary. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Since $\{S^n(\sqrt{n})\}$ has no d_{conc} -Cauchy subsequence, there exist two subsequences $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ of $\{S^n(\sqrt{n})\}$ such that $\inf_n d_{\text{conc}}(X_n, Y_n) > 0$. Theorem 1.1(3) implies that $\mathcal{P}_{X_n}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{Y_n}$ both converge weakly to $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. Let X_n and Y_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be mm-spaces such that $X_n \prec Y_n$ for any n . If $\{Y_n\}$ is $\square$ -precompact, then so is $\{X_n\}$. In particular, if $\{Y_n\}$ is $\square$ -precompact and if X_n concentrates to an mm-space X , then X_n $\square$ -converges to X .

Proof. Recall (see [11, §3 $\frac{1}{2}$.14] and [19, §4]) that $\{Y_n\}$ is $\square$ -precompact if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\Delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that we have Borel subsets $K_{n1}, K_{n2}, \dots, K_{nN} \subset Y_n$ for each n with the property that

- (i) $N \leq \Delta(\varepsilon)$;
- (ii) $\text{diam } K_{ni} \leq \varepsilon$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$;
- (iii) $\text{diam } \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{ni} \leq \Delta(\varepsilon)$;
- (iv) $\mu_{Y_n}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{ni}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$.

We assume that $\{Y_n\}$ is $\square$ -precompact, and then have Borel subsets $K_{ni} \subset Y_n$ satisfying (i)–(iv). Without loss of generality we may assume that all K_{ni} are compact, since each μ_{Y_n} is inner regular. By $X_n \prec Y_n$, we find a 1-Lipschitz continuous map $f_n : Y_n \rightarrow X_n$ with $(f_n)_* \mu_{Y_n} =$

μ_{X_n} . The sets $K'_{ni} := f_n(K_{ni})$ are compact and satisfy (i)–(iv), so that $\{X_n\}$ is $\square$ -precompact. The first part of the lemma has been proved.

We prove the second part. Assume that $\{Y_n\}$ is $\square$ -precompact and that X_n concentrates to an mm-space X . If X_n does not concentrate to X , then the $\square$ -precompactness of $\{X_n\}$ proves that it has a $\square$ -convergent subsequence whose limit is different from X . This contradicts that X_n concentrates to X as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Proposition 2.18). The proof of the lemma is completed. $\square$

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. If r_n is bounded away from zero, then $\{S^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ both have no $\square$ -convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Assume that $r_n \geq c > 0$ for any natural number n and for a constant c . We have $S^n(c) \prec S^n(r_n)$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n(c) \prec \mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)$. According to [7], the two sequences $\{S^n(c)\}$ and $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(c)\}$ both have no $\square$ -convergent subsequence. By Lemma 7.3, $\{S^n(r_n)\}$ and $\{\mathbb{C}P^n(r_n)\}$ also have no $\square$ -convergent subsequence. This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, 2nd ed., Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1999. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [2] V. I. Bogachev, *Gaussian measures*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 62, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [3] V. I. Bogachev, *Measure theory. Vol. I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [4] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [5] P. Diaconis and D. Freedman, *A dozen de Finetti-style results in search of a theory*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **23** (1987), no. 2, suppl., 397–423 (English, with French summary).
- [6] T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss, and V. D. Milman, *The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies*, Acta Math. **139** (1977), no. 1-2, 53–94.
- [7] K. Funano, *Estimates of Gromov’s box distance*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 8, 2911–2920.
- [8] ———, *Asymptotic behavior of mm-spaces*. Doctoral Thesis, Tohoku University, 2009.
- [9] K. Funano and T. Shioya, *Concentration, Ricci curvature, and eigenvalues of Laplacian*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), Issue 3, 888–936.
- [10] M. Gromov and V. D. Milman, *A topological application of the isoperimetric inequality*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), no. 4, 843–854.
- [11] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Reprint of the 2001 English edition, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. Based on the 1981 French original; With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes; Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.
- [12] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

- [13] M. Ledoux, *The concentration of measure phenomenon*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 89, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [14] P. Lévy, *Problèmes concrets d'analyse fonctionnelle. Avec un complément sur les fonctionnelles analytiques par F. Pellegrino*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951 (French). 2d ed.
- [15] V. D. Milman, *The heritage of P. Lévy in geometrical functional analysis*, Astérisque **157-158** (1988), 273–301. Colloque Paul Lévy sur les Processus Stochastiques (Palaiseau, 1987).
- [16] ———, *A certain property of functions defined on infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **200** (1971), 781–784 (Russian).
- [17] ———, *Asymptotic properties of functions of several variables that are defined on homogeneous spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **199** (1971), 1247–1250 (Russian); English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), 1277–1281.
- [18] Y. Ollivier, *Diamètre observable des sous-variétés de S^n et $\mathbb{C}P^n$* (1999). mémoire de DEA, université d'Orsay.
- [19] T. Shioya, *Metric measure geometry—Gromov's theory of convergence and concentration of metrics and measures*. preprint.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN